# The Predicate Form: using artifact shapes to reconstruct prehistoric social interactions



•David K. Thulman
•George Washington University
•Archaeological Research Cooperative, Inc.



How do we understand *cultural* change through time and space?

How do we understand *artifact* change through time and space?

What does these changes tell us about human organization and behavior?

A central problem in archaeology is how & why did cultures evolve (which means: how do we explain the temporal and spatial patterns?)

Reactions or adaptations to environmental changes

**Population pressures** 

Interaction (trade, conflict)

**Population movement** 

Diffusion



### Southeastern North America

Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene Epochs Late Paleoindian – Early Archaic Periods

~12,000 - 10,500 years ago

Drier than modern times Sea level lower Modern fauna



# ~11,700 BP

- Contraction

R.M.

# ~11,500 BP

- Aller

RM .

# **Dual Inheritance Theory**



#### Parallels between genetic and cultural processes

Gene Gene pool Genetic inheritance Natural selection Mutation Random genetic drift Error in transmission

Unit of cultural information

Culture pool

Cultural transmission

**Cultural selection** 

Innovation

Random cultural drift

Error in learning or teaching

# How is information transmitted?











## **First Principles**

Made by people

People learn to make things by instruction or imitation: from others in earlier and later generations from peers

How do they choose what to make?

Groups of learners tend to arrive at a single shared design

**Biased Transmission** 

Shared design is conservatively preserved through time

## How does Ego decide what to do?

Experiment

Take advice: but from whom?

Most successful
What most people are doing



#### **Bolen points**

### Made ~11,500 B.P.

North Florida

## What do we measure?







## Manufacture



## Landmark Placement for Landmark Geometric Morphometric Analysis (LGM)

4 main Landmarks (1-4) 2 curves (5-9 and 10-14)











## Identifying SLGs Using Learning Theory and Considering all Artifact Shape Variation









## Made at the same time



### **Distribution of SN A and CN A Bolen Varieties**





Interaction zone 35 km either side of the Suwannee River

People will be in more frequent contact than with others more distant

If we think of this zone as the adjacent edge of 2 neighborhoods



#### **Sewall Wright**

**Population geneticist** 

Self organization of systems over time where information is transferred.

Distribution of variation produced by information transfer is determined by various impediments.





## **Null Hypothesis of No Change**

### **Blended Hypothesis**

## **Exaggeration Hypothesis**





And the second se

#### **Testing the Hypotheses**

Null Hypothesis: Are the shapes in the interaction zone not significantly different than those outside the zone?

#### **Blending Hypothesis**

Are shapes more like one another in the zone than outside the zone?



### **Exaggeration Hypothesis Are greater shape differences in the Interaction Zone?**



How might we get these chronological and spatial distributions?

**Migration and Population Replacement** 

Diffusion of New Information and Local Adoption to Existing Designs





#### **Adapting Design Changes to Predicate Forms**

Minimally change the shape Only modifications done to incorporate the design change

> Keep the same size No need to modify the handle or shaft

Keep the same manufacturing techniques No need to learn new motor skills

**Migrating or Replacement Population** 

No spatial differences in Design, Size, and Techniques



## ~11,700 BP



## ~11,500 BP

#### So . . . . .

**Dual Inheritance Theory + LGM** allows us to parse subtle but statistically significant shape differences from which we can infer and test:

New Typologies that may better reflect the original makers' intentions

**Spatial and temporal artifact variation** with a firm theoretical basis (without resorting to environmental adaptation)

**Regional interaction spheres** 

**Local SLG interactions** 

**Migration & population replacement or information diffusion** 

# Thanks

Maile Neel, McClung Museum, Michael Faught, Mike Shott, Shane Miller, David Anderson, Florida State Museum, University of North Carolina, Florida Bureau of Archaeology, Don Munroe, Ike Rainey, and several private collectors.



